

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2011-10-31
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-28
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-06-30
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-06-30
Date of Last Revision: 2012-06-30

Agency: 005 - Department of Agriculture **Bureau:** 96 - Forest Service

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: ATSA - Automated Timber Sale Accounting

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 005-000000093

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The Automated Timber Sale Accounting System (ATSA) is a mainframe system hosted at NITC in Kansas City, MO. ATSA was implemented approximately 30 years ago in response to the deluge of congressional acts, and laws that regulate the management of forest products, such as the Clarke-McNary Act and the National Forest Management Act. Therefore, ATSA was the response to these legislations coupled with contract laws by automating the ability to calculate in an efficient and timely manner the amount due from the public based on the sale of forest products. ATSA aligns with the priorities and Strategic Goals of the Secretary, OCFO, CFO and Deputy Chiefs by providing and maintaining reliable financial management information and infrastructure, as well as excellence in the delivery of timely financial information for sound decision making. Selling timber and properly accounting for the financial impact of that activity is a major portion of the Forest Service mission. The sale of forest products is the largest revenue generating business process in the agency. The application continues to consistently perform effectively for the agency. In fiscal year 2009, the system processed approximately 36,000 transactions totaling over \$450m.

- 2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an**

assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

ATSA integrates or interfaces with TIM, All Service Receipts System (ASR), and FFIS. An integration with TIM bridges the resource information, such as the amount of timber harvested and other contractual information, with TSA which is the financial engine to perform various calculations based on applicable laws to determine the amount due from the public as well as the revenue earned by the agency. Because of the revenues generated as a result of this system, it is extensively audited by KPMG and has never had any significant or material weaknesses. This information interfaces with FFIS to establish the billing and balanced voucher documents related to timber sales. The system also receives collection and other financial information through a backfeed from FFIS to ensure that the accounting impacts are updated in ATSA. This demonstrates that it operates without problems and properly integrates/interfaces with TIM and FFIS which then serves as input for the financial statements and reports. ATSA substantially complies with several federal policies and standards, such as OMB Circular A-127, Financial Management Systems, which prescribes policies and standards for executive departments and agencies to follow when managing their financial management systems. ATSA does not have any direct users since the data entry was subsumed in NRM TIM in December 2007. However, ATSA creates over 75 various reports that are used by forest products regional coordinators, timber sale administrators, and ASC. The reports are used by the field to manage their overall timber sale program, specifically, oversee the timber purchasers' account; assess the financial condition of the contracts, active sales. The earned revenue data generated in ATSA is interfaced to ASR monthly. This data is certified by the timber regional coordinators and is used to calculate the payment to states and grassland counties which averages about \$500m annually. The WO NFS staff uses the data as an example to respond to congressional inquiries.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

Addressed issues in production runs, handled all error codes. Created indicator for Stimulus fundind, corrected issue that causes imbalances in FFIS and TSA. Applied NLC's to all contracts using the WWPA or PNWC indices due to the Western Wood Products Association's Five-Year Grade Recovery Study. Changes were to the index base values on these contracts. Point of Sale for TSA configured transactions "paid upon receipt" rather than waiting for the GLTSA 7004R transaction. Cobol program TSA640, Trus Fund Analysis reports, will be changed to exlude data for all contracts in default. FR&R staff reclassified GL account 5790 by changing the transaction code/transaction type for SV 88, 86, and 90 for the automated stewardship accruals processed at month end. Impacted ASC personnel who use trust fund analysis reports from TSA, to prevent ASC of having the task of significant manual intervention to create servicewide totals.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

Reconciliations to identify permit bills that were not actually paid for various reasons (Non-Sufficient Funds, etc.), allowing the negative cash correction transactions from GLTSA to process normally in TSA will satisfy this need. Change to Reports: Edit "Plan Date" (0401 transaction), so contracts entered near month end do not reject when plan date is greater than the current month. Add additional Reports: Remaining Timber Sales Volumes and

Values for Contracts and Permits. To change the program to user established credits for cash paid out amounts first and carry over the deferred billing amount to next month end closure. Change the program edits to automatically set the ag-fund-indicator to "Y" when a pipeline job code is entered on one or more proclaimed units. Also, change the program edits to allow setting the ag-fund-indicator to "Y" when a pipeline job code exists on one or more proclaimed unit. Eliminate the ATSA Automated Backup and Recovery System job. Migrate ATSA Reports Center from FTC to Kansas City Data Center (KCDC). Isolate Stewardship credits between monetary and non-monetary, and invoke controls on the reporting and use of each. Change controls on the use of payment bonds.

5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2011-09-14

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$7.9	\$0.8	\$0.7	\$0.7
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$1.3	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$9.2	\$0.9	\$0.8	\$0.8
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$9.2	\$0.9	\$0.8	\$0.8
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$1.3	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1
# of FTE rep by costs:	8	2	1	1
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.0	\$-0.1	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		3.80%	-13.30%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

To reflect changes in the cost of O&M Requirements.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded		AG7604C100006									
Awarded		12IA11132862016									

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

The contracts for operations and maintenance support of this application have been operating successfully for several years. This is a legacy system (over 20 years old) that only obtains contracted Operation and Maintenance services. While EVM has not been a requirement in the contracts, an operational analysis has been conducted during the last six months to evaluate system performance, identify performance gaps and document performance goals and measures.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities: 2011-10-31

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
1	Provision O&M Services	Provides the services required to meet the objectives of the investment.			

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
1	Provision O&M Services							

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
% of system users who complete security awareness and role based access training.	Percent	Process and Activities - Security and Privacy	Over target	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	Monthly
% of reports available to customers on the reports website as scheduled.	Percent	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	Monthly
Certification of user access to the system is conducted quarterly.	Percent	Process and Activities - Security and Privacy	Over target	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	Monthly
Contribute to agency maintaining an unqualified audit opinion by ensuring no material deficiencies are identified in the ATSA system during the audit.	Audit report	Customer Results - Service Quality	Over target	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	0.000000	Monthly
% of scheduled production cycles completed without issues.	Percent	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Over target	92.000000	91.000000	92.000000	91.000000	Monthly
% of scheduled time that application is operational.	Percent	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	97.000000	96.000000	96.000000	97.000000	Monthly
% of customer issues that are addressed and fully resolved within 24-hours.	percent	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Over target	92.000000	90.000000	90.000000	92.000000	Monthly